DEVELOPMENT OF CIRCULAR ECONOMY IN THE CONTEXT OF DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION: ECONOMIC MECHANISMS, INSTITUTIONAL REFORMS AND EFFICIENCY CRITERIA

Kuziyeva Nargiza Ramazanovna
Professor of the Department of Taxes and Taxation,
Tashkent State University of Economics, Doctor of Economic Sciences

Abstract. The article examines the scientific and practical foundations for developing a circular economy in the context of digital transformation. The research is based on the conceptual ideas of economic mechanisms, institutional reforms and efficiency criteria presented in the monographic study devoted to circular economy development. The paper argues that the transition from a linear model of production and consumption to a circular model should not be interpreted only as an environmental measure. It should be considered as a comprehensive economic transformation that combines resource-saving incentives, digital administration of material flows, green financing, innovation activity, waste management, secondary raw material markets and institutional modernization. Particular attention is paid to the role of digital platforms, Big Data, artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things and blockchain technologies in increasing transparency, reducing transaction costs and improving the monitoring of resource circulation. The article also substantiates the importance of adapting international circular economy practices to the conditions of Uzbekistan, especially through investment incentives, free economic zones, digital infrastructure and result-oriented public policy. The proposed approach links circular economy reforms with economic efficiency, industrial modernization and sustainable development.

Keywords: circular economy; digital transformation; resource efficiency; economic mechanism; green finance; waste management; secondary raw materials; digital platform; Big Data; artificial intelligence; Internet of Things; blockchain; institutional reform; economic efficiency; sustainable development.

Аннотация. В статье исследуются научно-практические основы развития циркулярной экономики в условиях цифровой трансформации. Исследование подготовлено на основе концептуальных положений монографии, посвящённой экономическим механизмам, институциональным реформам и критериям эффективности циркулярного развития. Обосновано, что переход от линейной модели производства и потребления к циркулярной модели должен рассматриваться не только как экологическая мера, но и как комплексная экономическая трансформация, включающая ресурсосбережение, цифровое администрирование материальных потоков, зелёное финансирование, инновационную активность, управление отходами, развитие рынка вторичного сырья и институциональную модернизацию. Особое внимание уделено применению цифровых платформ, Big Data, искусственного интеллекта, интернета вещей и блокчейн-технологий в повышении прозрачности, снижении трансакционных издержек и совершенствовании мониторинга ресурсных потоков.

Ключевые слова: циркулярная экономика; цифровая трансформация; ресурсная эффективность; экономический механизм; зелёное финансирование; управление отходами; вторичное сырьё; цифровая платформа; Big Data; искусственный интеллект; интернет вещей; блокчейн; институциональная реформа; экономическая эффективность; устойчивое развитие.

Annotatsiya. Maqolada raqamli transformatsiya sharoitida sirkulyar iqtisodiyotni rivojlantirishning ilmiy-amaliy asoslari tadqiq etilgan. Tadqiqot sirkulyar iqtisodiyotga o‘tishning iqtisodiy mexanizmlari, institutsional islohotlari va samaradorlik mezonlariga bag‘ishlangan monografik qarashlar asosida tayyorlangan. Muallif yondashuviga ko‘ra, chiziqli ishlab chiqarish va iste’mol modelidan sirkulyar modelga o‘tish faqat ekologik chora emas, balki resurs tejamkorligi, moddiy oqimlarni raqamli boshqarish, yashil moliyalashtirish, innovatsion faollik, chiqindilarni boshqarish, ikkilamchi xomashyo bozori va institutsional modernizatsiyani birlashtiruvchi kompleks iqtisodiy jarayon sifatida baholanishi lozim. Maqolada raqamli platformalar, Big Data, sun’iy intellekt, buyumlar interneti va blokcheyn texnologiyalarining resurslar aylanishi ustidan monitoringni kuchaytirishdagi o‘rni asoslab berilgan.

Tayanch so‘zlar: sirkulyar iqtisodiyot; raqamli transformatsiya; resurs samaradorligi; iqtisodiy mexanizm; yashil moliyalashtirish; chiqindilarni boshqarish; ikkilamchi xomashyo; raqamli platforma; Big Data; sun’iy intellekt; buyumlar interneti; blokcheyn; institutsional islohot; iqtisodiy samaradorlik; barqaror rivojlanish.

Introduction. The development of a circular economy has become one of the most important directions of modern economic policy. Traditional economic growth has long been based on a linear model: extraction of resources, production, consumption and disposal. Such a model increases pressure on natural resources, expands waste volumes, raises production costs and weakens long-term ecological stability. In these conditions, the circular economy offers a qualitatively different approach. It seeks to keep materials, products and resources in economic circulation for as long as possible through reuse, recycling, repair, modernization, eco-design and efficient waste management.

In the context of digital transformation, the circular economy acquires new institutional and technological opportunities. Digital platforms make it possible to monitor resource flows, connect producers and consumers of secondary raw materials, reduce information asymmetry and ensure transparent accounting of waste. Big Data technologies allow the analysis of production and consumption patterns. Artificial intelligence supports forecasting, optimization and risk assessment. The Internet of Things provides real-time tracking of equipment, resources and environmental indicators. Blockchain can strengthen trust in certification, green supply chains and recycling records.

For Uzbekistan, the circular economy is not only an environmental priority but also an economic necessity. The country’s industrial modernization, growth of urbanization, expansion of entrepreneurship and increasing demand for efficient use of resources require new economic mechanisms. These mechanisms should stimulate enterprises to reduce waste, introduce resource-saving technologies, use secondary raw materials and participate in green investment projects. Therefore, the circular economy should be considered as a strategic direction for improving production efficiency, reducing resource dependence and strengthening sustainable development.

The purpose of the article is to substantiate scientific and practical directions for developing the circular economy under conditions of digital transformation. The article focuses on economic mechanisms, digital instruments, institutional reforms and efficiency criteria that can support the transition to a circular model. The research also aims to show that digital transformation should not be viewed separately from circular economy reforms. On the contrary, the effectiveness of circular development largely depends on the ability of institutions, enterprises and public authorities to use digital tools for monitoring, planning, financing and evaluating resource circulation.

Literature review. The concept of the circular economy has been developed at the intersection of environmental economics, industrial ecology, innovation theory, public policy and sustainable development studies. In scientific literature, the circular economy is usually defined as an economic system aimed at reducing resource extraction, minimizing waste and extending the life cycle of products and materials. Unlike the linear model, the circular model seeks to create closed production and consumption cycles where waste becomes an input for new economic activity.

One of the key theoretical foundations of the circular economy is the idea of resource efficiency. According to this approach, economic growth should be achieved not through the extensive use of natural resources, but through better organization of production, technological modernization and reuse of materials. In this sense, resource efficiency becomes not only an ecological indicator but also an important factor of competitiveness. Enterprises that reduce resource losses can lower production costs, improve productivity and increase resilience to external price shocks. Another important approach is based on institutional economics. Circular economy development requires stable rules, clear property rights, predictable incentives and effective coordination between state bodies, businesses and consumers. Without institutional support, enterprises may not have sufficient motivation to invest in recycling, eco-design or green technologies. Therefore, taxation, subsidies, preferential financing, public procurement, environmental standards and digital reporting systems play a central role in circular transformation.

Modern research also emphasizes the importance of digitalization. The digital economy creates new opportunities for measuring, tracing and optimizing material flows. In traditional systems, many waste and resource movements remain invisible to regulators and businesses. Digital instruments make these flows measurable and manageable. This is especially important for countries where the secondary raw materials market is still developing and where the integration of enterprises into circular value chains remains limited.

International experience shows that successful circular economy policies are usually based on a combination of regulatory, financial, technological and informational instruments. European Union countries actively use extended producer responsibility, eco-design standards, green public procurement and waste separation systems. Asian economies focus on industrial symbiosis, eco-industrial parks and technological modernization. These practices demonstrate that there is no universal model of circular development. Each country should adapt international experience to its economic structure, institutional capacity, investment potential and digital readiness.

Research methodology. The methodological basis of the study consists of systemic analysis, comparative analysis, institutional assessment, logical generalization and economic interpretation. The systemic approach made it possible to consider the circular economy as a set of interrelated production, financial, technological, institutional and environmental processes. This approach is important because circular development cannot be achieved only by improving waste management. It requires changes in production design, investment policy, digital administration, consumer behavior and public governance.

The comparative approach was used to identify the differences between the linear and circular models of economic development. It also helped to distinguish the role of different instruments: fiscal incentives, green financing, digital monitoring, innovation support, waste regulation and secondary raw material markets. The institutional approach was applied to assess the importance of laws, standards, administrative procedures, coordination mechanisms and public-private cooperation in the transition to a circular economy.

The empirical and conceptual basis of the article is formed by the materials of the monographic research on circular economy development under digital transformation. These materials include theoretical and methodological approaches, economic mechanisms, efficiency criteria, digital tools, international experience and directions for adapting circular economy practices to the conditions of

Uzbekistan. The article does not mechanically repeat the monograph. It systematizes its main ideas in the format of an academic article and develops them through an integrated analytical structure.

The research logic is based on the following sequence. First, the economic essence of the transition to a circular model is explained. Second, the role of digital transformation in resource administration is determined. Third, the main economic mechanisms of circular economy development are grouped. Fourth, institutional reforms and efficiency indicators are proposed. Finally, practical recommendations are formulated for strengthening circular economy policy in Uzbekistan.

Analysis and results. The transition to a circular economy requires a fundamental revision of the economic logic of production and consumption. In the linear model, the value of materials is often lost after the first cycle of use. In the circular model, this value is preserved through reuse, repair, remanufacturing, recycling and secondary use. Therefore, the economic essence of circularity lies in transforming waste from a cost factor into a resource factor. This transformation increases the efficiency of enterprises and reduces the pressure on primary resources.

However, the circular economy cannot develop automatically. It requires a system of economic incentives that make resource-saving behavior profitable for enterprises. If the cost of using primary raw materials remains artificially low, and the cost of recycling or introducing clean technologies remains high, businesses will not have sufficient motivation to change their behavior. Therefore, the state should create conditions under which the circular model becomes economically attractive. Such conditions may include tax incentives, preferential loans, green subsidies, investment guarantees and differentiated environmental payments.

Digital transformation significantly expands the possibilities of circular economy management. In many cases, the main barrier to circular development is the lack of reliable information. Enterprises may not know where secondary raw materials are available, what quality they have, how much they cost and which companies need them. Digital platforms can solve this problem by creating a transparent market infrastructure for resource exchange. Such platforms can connect producers of waste, recycling companies, industrial enterprises, logistics organizations and public authorities.

Table 1.

Conceptual directions of circular economy development in the context of digital transformation[1]

Conceptual direction	Economic content	Key instruments	Expected result
Resource efficiency	Reduction of material losses and rational use of production inputs	Resource-saving technologies, eco-design, recycling	Lower costs, higher productivity, reduced waste
Digital administration	Monitoring and management of material and waste flows	Digital platforms, Big Data, IoT, AI	Transparency, faster decisions, lower information asymmetry
Green financing	Mobilization of investment for circular projects	Green loans, subsidies, tax incentives, bonds	Growth of investment in clean and resource-saving technologies

[1] Source: developed by the author based on the systematization of circular economy mechanisms and digital transformation instruments.

Conceptual direction	Economic content	Key instruments	Expected result
Institutional reform	Creation of stable rules and coordinated governance	Standards, reporting systems, public-private cooperation	Predictable environment and higher compliance
Secondary raw material market	Economic circulation of waste and used materials	Exchange platforms, certification, logistics systems	Expansion of reuse and recycling activities

The role of Big Data in circular economy development is particularly important. Large volumes of information on production, consumption, waste generation, logistics and environmental indicators can be used for analytical forecasting. For example, data analysis can help identify sectors with the highest material losses, regions with insufficient recycling capacity or enterprises with strong potential for industrial symbiosis. In this way, policy measures can become more targeted and result-oriented.

Artificial intelligence can be used to optimize resource flows and improve decision-making. AI-based systems can forecast waste volumes, classify recyclable materials, identify inefficient production processes and propose optimal routes for collecting secondary raw materials. This is especially relevant for large cities and industrial zones, where waste flows are complex and constantly changing. By applying AI tools, public authorities and enterprises can move from reactive waste management to preventive resource planning.

The Internet of Things also has significant potential. Sensors installed in production equipment, containers, transport vehicles and storage facilities can provide real-time information about material consumption, equipment condition, waste accumulation and environmental parameters. Such information allows enterprises to prevent losses, optimize maintenance and reduce unnecessary use of resources. For regulators, IoT technologies can improve the reliability of environmental monitoring and reduce dependence on manual reporting.

Blockchain technologies can contribute to the development of trust in circular value chains. In circular economy systems, it is important to confirm the origin, quality and movement of materials. Blockchain can provide immutable records of transactions, certificates and recycling operations. This is particularly useful for green supply chains, carbon accounting, waste traceability and verification of secondary raw materials. However, blockchain should not be used as a decorative technology. It is effective only when it solves a specific problem of trust, verification or coordination.

The economic mechanism of circular economy development should combine incentives and obligations. On the one hand, enterprises need support for modernization, innovation and investment. On the other hand, they should be responsible for inefficient use of resources and excessive waste generation. A balanced mechanism should not punish business mechanically. It should encourage enterprises to change their production behavior through predictable, transparent and economically justified instruments.

Table 2.

Economic mechanisms for stimulating circular economy development[2]

[2] Source: developed by the author based on the grouping of economic incentives, institutional instruments and digital administration measures.

Mechanism	Problem addressed	Practical form	Expected economic effect
Tax incentives for resource-saving investment	High initial cost of circular technologies	Reduced tax burden for modernization projects	Faster technological renewal
Preferential green financing	Limited access to long-term investment	Green credit lines and concessional loans	Expansion of circular business projects
Differentiated environmental payments	Weak motivation to reduce waste	Higher payments for non-recyclable waste	Reduction of landfill dependence
Support for secondary raw materials	Underdeveloped recycling market	Certification, digital exchange platforms, logistics support	Growth of recycling and reuse
Green public procurement	Low demand for circular products	Priority for resource-efficient goods	Stable market for circular production
Digital reporting	Information gaps and weak monitoring	Unified digital databases and automated reporting	Better control and lower administrative costs

The development of secondary raw material markets is one of the most practical areas of circular economy policy. In many economies, waste is not reused because there is no stable market infrastructure. Enterprises may generate recyclable materials, but potential buyers may not have information about their availability. Digital exchange platforms can create a direct connection between supply and demand. At the same time, quality standards and certification are necessary to ensure that secondary raw materials can be safely used in production.

Green financing is another key element. Circular projects often require significant initial investment. Enterprises need funds for new equipment, recycling lines, energy-efficient technologies, waste sorting systems and digital monitoring tools. Traditional financial institutions may consider such projects risky because their payback period can be long. Therefore, state-supported green financing mechanisms are necessary. These may include preferential loans, guarantees, co-financing programs and public-private investment funds.

Free economic zones and industrial clusters can also play a strategic role. They provide a favorable environment for testing circular business models, developing industrial symbiosis and introducing digital platforms. In industrial symbiosis, waste or by-products of one enterprise become input for another enterprise. This reduces costs for both sides and increases the overall efficiency of the industrial zone. For Uzbekistan, the use of free economic zones as pilot territories for circular economy mechanisms can become an effective practical direction.

The effectiveness of circular economy policy should be measured through a set of indicators. Traditional indicators such as production growth and investment volume are important, but they are not sufficient. Circular development also requires indicators of material efficiency, recycling rate, waste reduction, use of secondary raw materials, energy savings, digital monitoring coverage and economic return from circular projects. Without such indicators, it is difficult to evaluate whether reforms actually lead to sustainable results.

Table 3.

Criteria and indicators for evaluating circular economy efficiency[3]

Efficiency criterion	Main indicator	Economic interpretation	Policy relevance
Resource productivity	Output per unit of material input	Shows how efficiently resources are used	Supports resource-saving policy
Waste reduction	Decrease in waste per unit of output	Reflects prevention of material losses	Helps reduce landfill pressure
Recycling development	Share of recycled materials in total waste	Shows expansion of circular flows	Indicates maturity of recycling infrastructure
Secondary raw material use	Share of secondary inputs in production	Measures replacement of primary resources	Supports industrial circularity
Digital monitoring	Share of enterprises covered by digital reporting	Shows transparency of material flows	Improves administration and control
Green investment return	Economic output from circular projects	Measures financial effectiveness	Helps prioritize investment support
Institutional compliance	Level of adherence to circular standards	Reflects quality of governance	Strengthens regulatory discipline

Institutional reforms are necessary for transforming isolated circular initiatives into a national economic system. These reforms should include clear legal definitions, stable standards, interagency coordination, digital databases and methodological support for enterprises. If responsibilities between institutions are unclear, circular economy measures may remain fragmented. Therefore, coordination between ministries, local authorities, tax bodies, environmental agencies, financial institutions and business associations is essential.

A special role belongs to public administration. The state should not only control waste generation but also create economic conditions for circular behavior. This means that regulation should move from a purely prohibitive approach to a developmental approach. Enterprises should clearly understand what benefits they can receive by reducing waste, introducing recycling technologies, improving reporting and participating in circular value chains. In this regard, transparency and predictability of policy are crucial.

The transition to a circular economy also requires changes in business culture. Many enterprises still perceive waste management as an additional cost rather than a source of economic benefit. This perception can be changed through pilot projects, demonstration effects, training programs and successful examples of circular business models. Universities and research centers can contribute by developing methodologies, training specialists and supporting analytical platforms.

The international experience should be adapted carefully. It is not enough to copy foreign models mechanically. Uzbekistan has its own industrial structure, resource base, institutional conditions and

[3] Source: developed by the author based on methodological approaches to evaluating circular economy reforms.

investment capacity. Therefore, international practices should be selected according to national priorities. For example, extended producer responsibility can be introduced gradually. Digital waste accounting can first be tested in large cities and industrial zones. Green financing instruments can be linked to sectors with high resource intensity.

The analysis shows that digital transformation is not only a technological process but also an institutional condition for circular economy development. Digital tools increase transparency, reduce uncertainty and create new forms of coordination. However, technology itself cannot replace economic incentives and institutional reforms. The most effective model is the one in which digital platforms, financial instruments, regulatory standards and business motivation operate together.

Scientific and practical recommendations:

First, it is necessary to develop an integrated national digital platform for circular economy management. This platform should collect information on waste generation, secondary raw material supply, recycling capacity, enterprise demand and environmental indicators. It should serve not only as a reporting tool but also as a market infrastructure for resource exchange.

Second, circular economy incentives should be linked to measurable results. Tax benefits, subsidies and preferential loans should be provided not simply for declaring ecological intentions, but for achieving concrete outcomes: waste reduction, increased use of secondary raw materials, introduction of resource-saving technologies and verified environmental improvements.

Third, green financing mechanisms should be expanded. Banks and financial institutions should receive methodological support for evaluating circular projects. Special credit products may be introduced for recycling, energy efficiency, waste sorting, eco-design and digital monitoring systems.

Fourth, industrial zones and free economic zones should be used as pilot areas for circular economy instruments. In these zones, it is possible to introduce industrial symbiosis, digital resource accounting, shared recycling infrastructure and preferential conditions for circular investment projects.

Fifth, the market for secondary raw materials should be developed through certification, quality standards and logistics support. Without reliable standards, enterprises may be reluctant to use recycled materials. Therefore, trust in secondary raw materials should be strengthened through regulation and digital verification.

Sixth, public procurement should support circular products and services. Government demand can create a stable market for goods produced with recycled materials, energy-efficient technologies or resource-saving methods. This will encourage enterprises to invest in circular production.

Seventh, circular economy education and training should be strengthened. Managers, accountants, engineers, public officials and entrepreneurs need practical knowledge about circular business models, digital monitoring, green finance and resource efficiency indicators.

Finally, the evaluation of circular economy reforms should include both economic and environmental indicators. The success of reforms should be measured not only by the number of adopted programs but by real changes in production efficiency, investment activity, waste reduction, recycling development and digital transparency.

Conclusion. The development of a circular economy in the context of digital transformation is a strategic direction for improving economic efficiency and ensuring sustainable development. The circular economy changes the traditional understanding of resources, waste and production. It transforms waste into an economic asset, extends the life cycle of materials and creates new opportunities for innovation and investment.

The analysis shows that the transition to a circular model requires a comprehensive system of economic mechanisms. These mechanisms should include resource-saving incentives, green financing, differentiated environmental payments, support for secondary raw material markets, digital reporting

and institutional coordination. Separate measures will not be sufficient if they are not connected within a unified policy framework.

Digital transformation plays a decisive role in this process. Digital platforms, Big Data, artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things and blockchain technologies can significantly improve the monitoring, planning and administration of resource flows. However, digital tools should be supported by stable institutions, clear standards and economic incentives.

For Uzbekistan, circular economy development can become an important factor of industrial modernization, investment attractiveness and environmental sustainability. The country can adapt international experience by focusing on national priorities: development of green financing, modernization of enterprises, expansion of recycling infrastructure, use of free economic zones and implementation of digital platforms.

Thus, the circular economy should be considered not only as an ecological concept but also as a new model of economic efficiency. Its successful development depends on the ability to combine institutional reforms, technological innovation, financial incentives and digital administration into a single strategic system.

References:

1. Pearce, D. W., Turner, R. K. Economics of Natural Resources and the Environment. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1990.
2. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. Towards the Circular Economy: Economic and Business Rationale for an Accelerated Transition. Cowes: Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2013.
3. Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., Hekkert, M. Conceptualizing the Circular Economy: An Analysis of 114 Definitions. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 2017, Vol. 127, pp. 221–232.
4. Bocken, N. M. P., de Pauw, I., Bakker, C., van der Grinten, B. Product Design and Business Model Strategies for a Circular Economy. Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering, 2016, Vol. 33, No. 5, pp. 308–320.
5. Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., Hultink, E. J. The Circular Economy – A New Sustainability Paradigm? Journal of Cleaner Production, 2017, Vol. 143, pp. 757–768.
6. Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., Ulgiati, S. A Review on Circular Economy: The Expected Transition to a Balanced Interplay of Environmental and Economic Systems. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2016, Vol. 114, pp. 11–32.
7. Stahel, W. R. The Circular Economy: A User's Guide. London: Routledge, 2019.
8. OECD. Business Models for the Circular Economy: Opportunities and Challenges for Policy. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2019.
9. United Nations Environment Programme. Resource Efficiency and Circular Economy: Opportunities for Sustainable Development. Nairobi: UNEP, 2020.
10. Kuziyeva, N. R. Development of Circular Economy in the Context of Digital Transformation: Mechanisms, Reforms and Economic Efficiency. Monograph. Tashkent: Chinor Fayzi Baland, 2026.