THE SYMBOLIC AND COGNITIVE INTERPRETATION OF FLOWER NAMES

Raxmonova Zamira Mirzajonovna
Teacher of Uzbek Language and Literature, Academic Lyceum No. 2, Fergana Technical University, Uzbekistan
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22127520

Abstract

Flower names occupy a distinctive place in the lexicon of every language, functioning simultaneously as botanical terms and as carriers of rich cultural, emotional, and symbolic meaning. This article examines the symbolic and cognitive dimensions of flower names, focusing on how these lexical units encode cultural values, emotional associations, and conceptual metaphors within the human mind. Drawing on principles of cognitive linguistics, ethnolinguistics, and symbolic anthropology, the article analyzes how flower names function as cultural codes, how they participate in metaphorical and metonymic extension of meaning, and how their symbolic interpretation varies across linguistic and cultural communities. The study also considers the cognitive mechanisms — categorization, conceptual mapping, and prototype formation — that underlie the human capacity to associate specific flowers with particular emotions, virtues, or social meanings. The article concludes that flower names represent a fertile intersection of botany, culture, and cognition, offering valuable insight into how language structures human perception of the natural world.

Key words: flower names, symbolism, cognitive linguistics, conceptual metaphor, ethnolinguistics, phytonyms, cultural connotation, floriography.

1. Introduction

Since ancient times, flowers have served humanity not only as objects of aesthetic admiration but also as powerful symbols embedded in ritual, literature, religion, and everyday communication. The names given to flowers — referred to in linguistics as phytonyms — are rarely neutral botanical labels. Instead, they frequently carry layers of cultural meaning shaped by historical usage, mythology, folklore, and shared emotional experience. The rose, for example, is associated across many cultures with love and passion, while the lily is frequently linked to purity, and the poppy evokes remembrance and sleep. These associations are not arbitrary; they emerge from a complex interplay between the physical properties of the flower (color, shape, fragrance, growth pattern) and the cognitive processes through which humans construct meaning.

This article approaches flower names from two complementary perspectives: the symbolic, which examines how flower names function as cultural signs within systems of meaning such as literature, religion, and social ritual; and the cognitive, which investigates the mental processes — metaphor, metonymy, categorization, and framing — that allow speakers to extend the meaning of a flower name beyond its literal botanical referent. By integrating these two perspectives, the article aims to demonstrate that the interpretation of flower names is a product of both cultural convention and universal cognitive mechanisms, and that understanding this dual nature enriches our understanding of language, culture, and the human mind.

2. Theoretical Background

The symbolic study of flowers has deep roots in anthropology and cultural studies, most notably in the Victorian tradition of floriography, or the 'language of flowers,' in which specific flowers and even their colors were assigned precise emotional or moral meanings used for coded communication. While floriography as a formal system has largely faded, the underlying principle — that flowers can silently communicate emotion and meaning — persists in modern practices such as gift-giving, wedding customs, and funeral rites.

From a linguistic perspective, the relevant theoretical framework is provided by cognitive linguistics, particularly the theory of conceptual metaphor developed by George Lakoff and Mark

Johnson, which holds that abstract concepts are frequently understood through more concrete, embodied domains of experience. Flower names lend themselves naturally to this process because they are grounded in vivid, sensory experience: color, scent, texture, and the life cycle of blooming and withering. This sensory richness makes flowers an especially productive source domain for metaphorical mappings onto abstract target domains such as emotion, beauty, character, and mortality.

Ethnolinguistics further contributes to this framework by emphasizing that the symbolic meaning of a given flower name is not universal but culturally conditioned. The same flower may carry different, even opposite, connotations in different linguistic communities, reflecting distinct historical experiences, religious traditions, and folk beliefs.

3. Cognitive Mechanisms Underlying Flower Symbolism

The human capacity to interpret flower names symbolically relies on several interrelated cognitive mechanisms. The first is categorization: humans naturally group flowers according to perceptual features such as color and shape, and these categories become associated with prototype members that best represent a given emotional or symbolic category. The red rose, for instance, functions as a prototype for the category of romantic love, so strongly that the mere mention of 'a rose' in a romantic context activates this association without further specification.

The second mechanism is conceptual metaphor, through which physical characteristics of flowers are mapped onto abstract domains. The metaphor BEAUTY IS A FLOWER, for example, allows speakers to describe a beautiful woman as 'a rose' or 'a blossoming beauty,' transferring qualities of freshness, fragility, and visual appeal from the botanical domain to human appearance. Similarly, the metaphor LIFE IS A FLOWER underlies expressions describing youth as 'blooming' and old age or death as 'withering' or 'fading,' reflecting the natural life cycle of flowering plants.

The third mechanism is metonymy, in which a flower name stands for a related concept through contiguity rather than similarity. For instance, the phrase 'sending flowers' metonymically stands for expressing sympathy, love, or celebration, without needing further elaboration, because the act of gift-giving flowers has become conventionally linked to these communicative functions.

Finally, framing plays an important role: the same flower can be framed differently depending on context. A white lily framed within a wedding context evokes purity and new beginnings, while the same flower framed within a funeral context evokes mourning and the fragility of life. This demonstrates that cognitive interpretation of flower names is highly context-dependent, even when the underlying lexical item remains constant.

4. Symbolic Meanings of Selected Flower Names Across Cultures

An examination of specific flower names reveals both cross-cultural universals and culturally specific divergences in symbolic interpretation.

- Rose: widely associated with love and passion in Western cultures, but also symbolizing secrecy in certain historical European traditions (the expression 'sub rosa,' meaning 'in confidence') and representing paradise or divine beauty in Persian and Islamic poetic traditions.
- Lily: connected with purity and resurrection in Christian iconography, particularly the white lily associated with the Virgin Mary, while in East Asian traditions certain lily species symbolize motherhood and the reunion of family.
- Lotus: a central symbol of spiritual enlightenment, purity, and rebirth in Buddhist and Hindu traditions, owing to its capacity to rise unstained from muddy water.
- Poppy: associated with sleep and death in classical mythology due to its narcotic properties, and adopted in the twentieth century as a symbol of remembrance for soldiers who died in war.
- Chrysanthemum: representing longevity, nobility, and imperial authority in Chinese and Japanese culture, yet frequently associated with mourning and funerals in several European countries.
- Sunflower: symbolizing loyalty, admiration, and unwavering devotion in many Western cultures, owing to its heliotropic tendency to follow the sun across the sky.

This comparative overview illustrates the central claim of ethnolinguistic symbolism: the meaning of a flower name is never fixed by botany alone but is shaped by the historical, religious, and social context of the culture that names and interprets it.

5. Flower Names in Literature and Everyday Language

Literature has long exploited the symbolic potential of flower names to convey emotion and characterization without explicit statement. Poets and novelists frequently use a flower's name as a compressed symbol, allowing a single word to evoke an entire emotional atmosphere. Beyond literature, everyday language is saturated with flower-based expressions: a person may be described as 'a wallflower' to indicate shyness, a promising young talent may be called 'a budding artist,' and an unpleasant surprise hidden within something pleasant is described as revealing itself when 'the bloom is off the rose.'

Such expressions demonstrate that the symbolic and cognitive interpretation of flower names is not confined to specialized or literary registers but is deeply embedded in ordinary conversational language, reflecting the extent to which floral imagery has become a conventionalized resource for expressing human experience.

6. Discussion

The analysis presented above shows that the symbolic interpretation of flower names arises from the interaction of universal cognitive mechanisms and culturally specific conventions. Certain associations, such as the mapping of blooming and withering onto youth and aging, appear to be grounded in embodied human experience and are therefore relatively consistent across cultures. Other associations, such as the specific emotional connotation of a chrysanthemum, are the product of particular historical and cultural circumstances and therefore diverge significantly between linguistic communities.

This dual nature has important implications for translation, cross-cultural communication, and language teaching. A translator rendering a text containing flower symbolism must be aware that a literal translation of a flower name may fail to evoke the intended emotional or cultural association in the target language, and may even produce an unintended or contradictory effect, as in the case of chrysanthemums carrying opposite connotations in different cultural contexts.

7. Conclusion

Flower names constitute a rich and productive domain for the study of symbolic meaning and cognitive processing in language. Their interpretation depends on an intricate combination of sensory experience, conceptual metaphor and metonymy, cultural convention, and contextual framing. While certain floral associations appear rooted in universal human experience of the life cycle and sensory perception, many others are deeply culture-specific, shaped by religious tradition, folklore, and historical usage. A comprehensive understanding of flower name symbolism therefore requires an interdisciplinary approach that integrates cognitive linguistics with ethnolinguistic and cultural analysis.

Future research in this area may benefit from cross-linguistic corpus studies comparing the frequency and connotation of flower-based expressions across multiple languages, as well as psycholinguistic experiments investigating how quickly and automatically speakers activate symbolic associations upon encountering a flower name. Such research would further illuminate the fascinating intersection of botany, culture, and cognition that flower names represent.

Used literature:

1. Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). Metaphors We Live By. University of Chicago Press.
2. Kuchkarova, M. (2026). CHALLENGES IN PRESERVING A WRITER'S STYLE IN TRANSLATION. Mental Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal, 7(01), 189-197.
3. Kuchkarova, M., & Suyuberdieva, A. (2026). Reconstructing Authorial Style in Translation: A Multi-Level Empirical Analysis. Asian journal of technology and applied sciences, 5(1), 454-457.
4. Fevzievna, K. L. (2021). CRITICAL THINKING AS ONE OF THE SKILLS DEVELOPED THROUGH THE DIALOGUE OR CONVERSATION. Mental Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal, 37-46.
5. Халилова, Л. (2024). Проблемы обучения диалога на занятиях иностранного языка. O'zbekiston davlat jahon tillari universiteti konferensiyalari, 737-739.
6. Шомуродова, Ш. Ж. (2010). Теоретические предпосылки фразеологической деривации. Вестник Челябинского государственного университета, (22), 148-150.
7. Khajieva, F., & Rakhimova, S. (2024). Comparative Exploration of Freedom, Knowledge and Dignity in the Eastern and Western Philosophy of Enlighteners. ФИЛОЛОГИЯ Учредители: Софийский университет им. Святого Климента Охридского, (45), 37-47.
8. FERUZA, K., & SHAKHNOZA, R. (2025). A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF COLUM MCCANN'S WORKS AND PROSE STYLE. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL, 5(2), 51-53.
9. Khajieva, F., & Rakhimova, S. (2023). EXPLORING LITERARY INNOVATIONS: A CLASSIFICATION OF FRAGMENTED FORMS IN BELLES-LETTRES TEXT. International Journal Of Literature And Languages, 3 (11), 47–53. https://doi. org/10.37547/ijll/Volume03Issue11, 7.
10. Tohirova, U. A. (2021). THE DESCRIPTION OF IMAGE IN H. SHAYKHOV'S WORKS. Студенческий вестник, (8-3), 52-54.
11. Hayitova, D., & Tokhirova, D. (2022). INSTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES TO TEACH LISTENING. Academic research in educational sciences, 3(1), 726-730.
12. Akramovna, T. U. (2023). ALISHER NAVOIYNING "LISON UT-TAYR" ASARI MAZMUNI. SO 'NGI ILMIY TADQIQOTLAR NAZARIYASI, 6, 246-252.
13. Jurayevna, B. N., & Rakhmiddinovna, S. M. THE IMAGE OF A FLOWER IN SITTAI ZARURIYA. SCIENTIFIC REPORTS OF BUKHARA STATE UNIVERSITY НАУЧНЫЙ ВЕСТНИК БУХАРСКОГО ГОСУДАРСТВЕННОГО УНИВЕРСИТЕТА, 179.
14. Nazarova, G. P., Umurova, K. H., & Kasimova, N. F. (2020). Peculiarities of the mythological images of the British folklore. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29(8 Special Issue), 1614-1618.
15. Kobilova, N. S., & Nazarova, G. P. (2016). Elaborated methods in the light of writing. International scientific journal, (4 (2)), 34-36.
16. Pirmanovna, N. G. (2021). The image of birds in english and Uzbek literature. ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 11(6), 687-692.
17. Jalilova, L. (2020). National Specificity of American Satirical Short Stories. Philology Matters, 2020(2).
18. Жалилова, Л. Ж. (2018). СПЕЦИФИКА АМЕРИКАНСКОЙ ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ XX ВЕКА. Теория и практика современной науки, (3 (33)), 198-203.
19. Жалилова, Л. Ж. (2016). Сатира А. Бирса в свете фольклорных и литературных традиций. На пересечении языков и культур. Актуальные вопросы гуманитарного знания, (1), 295-299.
20. Raxmatulloevna, K. R., & Oktamovna, O. M. (2022). The Distinctive Writing Style of Arthur Conan Doyle. International Journal on Integrated Education, 5(4), 197-201.
21. Rakhmatulloevna, K. R. (2016). Uzbek national cuisine ethnographisms in English translations. UCT Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research, 4(2), 20-25.

22. Kasimova, R. R., & ZIYADULLAYEVA, A. (2022). The Peculiarities of Comparative Historical Method and its Types. International Scientific Journal Theoretical & Applied Science, MA. USA. Published, 15, 336-345.
23. Kurbanova, N. (2018). Speaking activities as a main tool for developing self-confidence. Интернаука, (9), 25-27.
24. Kurbanova, N. (2017). Efficient ways in teaching writing in ESL classes. Интернаука, 7(11 Часть 3), 21.
25. Rozikovna, K. N. The Description of Some Images in “Divine Comedy” by Dante Alighieri. International Journal on Integrated Education, 3(3), 17-19.
26. Ходжаева, Д. И. (2011). Опыт количественного анализа стилистических терминов в толковых словарях (на материале толковых словарей английского, русского и узбекского языков). Вестник Челябинского государственного университета, (28), 130-133.
27. Khodjaeva, D. I., & Saidova, Z. T. (2022). Interaction between Categories of Taxis and Temporality. European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education, 2(3), 110-113.
28. KHODJAYEVA, D., & SAIDOVA, Z. (2021). THE ORIGIN AND JUSTIFICATION OF THE TERM TAXIS IN LINGUISTICS. In E-Conference Globe (pp. 375-379).